

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/594,097	05/06/2008	Ulrich Hersel	13907-00007-US (CPX64383P	8928	
29416 7590 03/08/2011 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP P O BOX 2207			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			WESTERBERG, NISSA M		
WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/08/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/594,097	HERSEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nissa M. Westerberg	1618	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- for Reply

Period for Reply	,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF TI Extensions of strength as available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(q), in no exist is SK (6) MCNTHS from the making date of this communication. Failure to reply whith the earl or extended period for reply with, by statute, cause the age Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this ce earned pattern town adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.75(d).	HIS COMMUNICATION. rent, however, may a reply be timely filled will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, plication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is rincondition for allowance except closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte October 1.	t for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 89-128 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from complete is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claim(s) 89-128 are subject to restriction and/or election.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a lacepted or b Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is requing the owner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note that the content is the content is objected to by the Examiner.	be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). red if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) All b) Some colonomous 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have be 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have be 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be where the priority documents have be supplication from the International Bureau (PCT Ru See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	en received. en received in Application No ents have been received in this National Stage le 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s)	
1	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

"	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
U.S. Pater	nt and Trademark Office	
PTOL-3	326 (Rev. 08-06)	

6) Other: _____

Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1 REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

Application/Control Number: 10/594,097

Art Unit: 1618

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
 - (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 89 - 124, drawn to a compound having the formula [masking group]-[carrier]-[activity moiety] as defined in claim 89.

Group II, claim(s) 125, drawn to a method of synthesizing a compound with starting materials as defined in formula II or IIb of claim 125.

Group III, claim(s) 126, drawn to a method of hydrolyzing a polymeric cascade prodrug by placing the prodrug in a solution of pH approximately 7.4.

Group IV. claim(s) 127, drawn to a method of administering an amine-containing moiety to an organism in need by administering a polymeric cascade prodrug and cleavage by a substantially non-enzymatic reaction.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/594,097

Art Unit: 1618

Group V, claim(s) 128, drawn to a method of providing a therapeutically useful concentration of biologically active molecule by *in vivo* cleavage of a polymeric cascade prodrug.

3. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Group (I, III – V) and IV lack unity of invention because the groups do not share the same or corresponding technical feature. The compounds synthesized by the method of group II are not of the same scope as the compounds of groups I and III – V.

Group I, III, IV and V lack unity of invention because even though the inventions of these groups require the technical feature of the polymeric cascade prodrug according to claim 89, this technical feature is not a special technical feature as it does not make a contribution over the prior art in view of Piccariello et al. (WO 03/057716). Piccariello et al. discloses compounds having the formula polysaccharide covalently attached to a peptide scaffold with an active agent bound to the peptide scaffold (¶ [005]). The polysaccharide is the masking group and contains alcohol groups, which are nucleophiles. The carrier is peptide. The pharmaceutically active component can be a peptide or oligonucleotide (¶ [029]), both of which are amine containing.

Species Election

4. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

For group I and III - V, Applicant is required to elect one <u>specific</u> compound falling within the scope of the formula [masking group]-[carrier]-[activity moiety] of claim 89.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,097

Art Unit: 1618

For group II, Applicant is required to elect 1) a specific starting molecule of either formula II or IIb; 2) the masking group and the Y2 that masking group is bound to; and 3) the biologically active moiety D.

Where a single claim defines alternatives of a Markush group, the requirement of a technical interrelationship and the same or corresponding special technical features as defined in Rule 13.2, is considered met when the alternatives are of a similar nature. When the Markush grouping is for alternatives of chemical compounds, the alternatives are regarded as being of a similar nature where the following criteria are fulfilled:

- (A) all alternatives have a common property or activity; AND
- (B)(1) a common structure is present, that is, a significant structural element is shared by all of the alternatives; OR
- (B)(2) in cases where the common structure cannot be the unifying criteria, all alternatives belong to a recognized class of chemical compounds in the art to which the invention pertains.

The phrase "significant structural element is shared by all of the alternatives" refers to cases where the compounds share a common chemical structure which occupies a large portion of their structures, or in case the compounds have in common only a small portion of their structures, the commonly shared structure constitutes a structurally distinctive portion in view of existing prior art, and the common structure is essential to the common property or activity.

The phrase "recognized class of chemical compounds" means that there is an expectation from the knowledge in the art that members of the class will behave in the same way in the context of the claimed invention, i.e. each member could be substituted one for the other, with the expectation that the same intended result would be achieved.

The chemical compounds encompassed by the claims are not regarded as being of similar nature because: (1) all the alternatives do not share a common structure and (2) the alternatives do not all belong to a recognized class of chemical compounds. Each portion of the molecule belongs to an extremely broad genus that encompasses a wide variety of compounds. Merely containing an amine group or nucleophile does not provide for alternatives being of a similar nature.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

Application/Control Number: 10/594,097

Art Unit: 1618

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: claim 89 – 128.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

Art Unit: 1618

inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Joint Inventorship

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Rejoinder Notice

6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Art Unit: 1618

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Fallure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nissa M. Westerberg whose telephone number is (571)270-3532. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 8:00 a.m. - 4 p.m. ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/594,097 Page 9

Art Unit: 1618

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nissa M Westerberg/ Examiner, Art Unit 1618